# **APPENDIX B - SELF-ASSESSMENT WORKSHEET**

# **ASSESSMENT & SCORING GUIDANCE**

For each question, select the value that best represents your organization's current practice.

Score	Description
1 – Emerging	Practices are ad hoc or inconsistently applied. Activities are primarily reactive or
	compliance-driven.
2 – Developing	Practices are defined and repeatable but not yet strategic. Processes exist, though
	integration and sustainment vary across teams or systems.
3 – Established	Practices are formalized, sustained, and measured. Outcomes are consistently used to
	drive improvement and inform tactical, operational, and management decisions.

# After completing all questions:

- 1. Sum the five scores in each section and divide by 5 to find your Section Average.
- 2. Add all section averages and divide by 4 to find your Overall Average.
- 3. Use the Overall Average to identify your corresponding ARMOR Level

### **SELF-ASSESSMENT SCORE**

Section	Worksheet Results		
	Governance & Strategy		
	Testing Cadence & Scope		
	Remediation & Sustainment		
	Integration & Collaboration		
	Overall Score (SUM ÷ 4)	SUM:	AVG:

# Resulting ARMOR Level (circle one)

Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Ad-Hoc	Repeatable	Managed	Optimized	Resilient
(1.0 - 1.4)	(1.5 - 2.0)	(2.1 - 2.4)	(2.5 - 2.8)	(2.9 - 3.0)

SEC	CTION 1 – GOVERNANCE & STRATEGY		
#	QUESTION	1 2 3	
1	Does the organization have a documented risk-aligned offensive security strategy?		
2	Are roles and responsibilities for offensive security clearly defined across the organization?		
3	Is leadership regularly briefed on offensive security outcomes and risk implications?		
4	Are offensive security results used to inform policy, investment, or strategic decisions?		
5	Are threat intelligence and risk context incorporated into planning and prioritization?		
Sec	tion 1 Total: Section 1 Average (÷5):		
SEC	CTION 2 – TESTING CADENCE & SCOPE		
#	QUESTION	1 2 3	
6	How consistently are penetration tests or security assessments performed?		
7	Does testing include cloud, SaaS, and third-party, and on-prem assets?		
8	Are red/purple team exercises conducted to measure detection and response capability?		
9	Are test scopes threat-informed (based on adversary behaviors or emerging risks)?		
10	Are tabletop exercises or crisis simulations conducted for critical systems and processes?		
Sec	tion 2 Total: Section 2 Average (÷5):		
SEC	CTION 3 – REMEDIATION & SUSTAINMENT		
#	QUESTION	1 2 3	
11	Are findings triaged, prioritized, and tracked to resolution within defined SLAs?		
12	Is remediation validated through retesting or continuous validation processes?		
13	Are recurring or systemic findings analyzed for root causes and process improvement?		
14	Are sustainment metrics (e.g., remediation rate, mean time to validate) reviewed regularly?		
15	Are remediation and validation processes documented and reviewed for effectiveness?		
Sec	tion 3 Total: Section 3 Average (÷5):		
SEC	CTION 4 – INTEGRATION & COLLABORATION		
#	QUESTION	1 2 3	
16	Are offensive security results communicated to defensive and operations teams?		
17	Are red/purple team insights used to improve detection and response capabilities?		
18	Do business units understand and act on relevant offensive security outcomes?		
19	Are results integrated with enterprise risk management reporting and dashboards?		
20	Are cross-functional reviews or after-action reports conducted following exercises?		
	tion 4 Total: Section 4 Average (÷5):		